

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION**

NOVO NORDISK A/S AND NOVO  
NORDISK INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SOLACE INTEGRATIVE MENTAL  
HEALTH LLC D/B/A APOLLO  
HEALTH OPTIMIZATION,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:24-cv-00642

**COMPLAINT**

Plaintiffs Novo Nordisk A/S (“NNAS”) and Novo Nordisk Inc. (“NNI”) (collectively, “Plaintiffs” or “Novo Nordisk”) file their complaint against Solace Integrative Mental Health LLC d/b/a Apollo Health Optimization (“Defendant”) for false advertising and unfair and deceptive trade practices, and seek injunctive and other relief. Plaintiffs allege as follows, on actual knowledge with respect to themselves and their own acts, and on information and belief as to all other matters.

**INTRODUCTION**

1. Novo Nordisk is a healthcare company with a 100-year history of innovation in developing medicines to treat serious chronic diseases like diabetes and obesity.

2. The development of semaglutide is an example of Novo Nordisk’s commitment to innovation for people living with chronic diseases. Semaglutide is the foundational molecule that serves as the primary ingredient for Novo Nordisk’s three prescription-only medicines approved by the Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”): Ozempic® (semaglutide) injection and Rybelsus®

(semaglutide) tablets for adults with type 2 diabetes and Wegovy<sup>®</sup> (semaglutide) injection for chronic weight management.

3. Novo Nordisk is the only company in the United States with FDA-approved medicines containing semaglutide.

4. Novo Nordisk is also the only company authorized to identify its FDA-approved semaglutide medicines using the trademarks Ozempic<sup>®</sup>, Wegovy<sup>®</sup>, and Rybelsus<sup>®</sup>.

5. The FDA has not approved any generic versions of semaglutide medicines. To the contrary, the FDA has sent warning letters to companies which claimed that their Unapproved Products have the “same active ingredient as Ozempic, Rybelsus, and Wegovy,” noting that Ozempic and Wegovy are currently the only “two injectable semaglutide products FDA-approved for the U.S. market.”<sup>1</sup>

6. This is an action brought pursuant to the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1051 et seq., related state laws, and the common law arising out of Defendant’s acts of false advertising and unfair and deceptive trade practices.

7. Defendant markets and sells to patients compounded drug products that purport to contain semaglutide.

8. Even though such compounded drug products having not been evaluated by the FDA for their safety, effectiveness, or quality, Defendant falsely and misleadingly represents to patients that its products are FDA-approved or the same as, or equivalent to, Novo Nordisk’s FDA-approved semaglutide medicines.

---

<sup>1</sup>FDA – Warning Letter to Ozempen.com, MARCS-CMS 684435 – JUNE 24, 2024 <https://www.fda.gov/inspections-compliance-enforcement-and-criminal-investigations/warning-letters/ozempencom-684435-06242024> (last updated July 2, 2024).

9. Defendant's conduct is likely to confuse and deceive patients into mistakenly believing that they are purchasing authentic Novo Nordisk medicines or medicines that have been evaluated by the FDA, studied in clinical trials, and deemed safe and effective.

### **THE PARTIES**

10. Plaintiff NNAS is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Kingdom of Denmark and has its principal place of business in Bagsværd, Denmark.

11. Novo Nordisk developed the Ozempic<sup>®</sup>, Wegovy<sup>®</sup>, and Rybelsus<sup>®</sup> medicines.

12. NNAS has granted to Plaintiff NNI exclusive rights to market, advertise, promote, offer for sale, and sell Ozempic<sup>®</sup>, Wegovy<sup>®</sup>, and Rybelsus<sup>®</sup> medicines in the United States.

13. NNI is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware and has its principal place of business in Plainsboro, New Jersey.

14. NNI promotes, offers, and/or sells Novo Nordisk's Ozempic<sup>®</sup> and Wegovy<sup>®</sup> medicines throughout the United States, including in this District.

15. Defendant Solace Integrative Mental Health LLC d/b/a Apollo Health Optimization is an Ohio limited liability company with a registered business address at 301 Loveland Madeira Road, Suite C, Loveland, Ohio 45140, in this judicial district.

16. Defendant sells and promotes compounded drug products that purport to contain semaglutide and that are not approved by the FDA ("Unapproved Compounded Drugs").

17. Defendant falsely claims, or otherwise misleadingly suggests, that its Unapproved Compounded Drugs are the same as or equivalent to Ozempic<sup>®</sup>, Wegovy<sup>®</sup>, and Rybelsus<sup>®</sup> medicines.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

18. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the Lanham Act causes of action pleaded herein pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 1121 and 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a).

19. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the state and common law causes of action pleaded herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1338(b).

20. Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District because Defendant is an Ohio limited liability company and has a principal place of business in Ohio.

21. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Defendant operates in this District, manufactures and/or sells its compounded drug products that purport to contain semaglutide in this District, and otherwise conducts business in this District.

**NOVO NORDISK'S FDA-APPROVED SEMAGLUTIDE MEDICINES  
AND OZEMPIC<sup>®</sup>, WEGOVY<sup>®</sup>, AND RYBELSUS<sup>®</sup> TRADEMARKS**

22. Plaintiffs use the trademarks “Ozempic,” “Wegovy,” and “Rybelsus” to identify and promote the FDA-approved Ozempic<sup>®</sup>, Wegovy<sup>®</sup>, and Rybelsus<sup>®</sup> medicines. The Ozempic<sup>®</sup>, Wegovy<sup>®</sup>, and Rybelsus<sup>®</sup> medicines are sold and marketed in the United States by NNAS’s indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary, NNI.

23. The Ozempic<sup>®</sup> medicine is indicated for adults with type 2 diabetes to improve blood sugar (glucose), along with diet and exercise. The Ozempic<sup>®</sup> medicine also lowers the risk of major cardiovascular events such as stroke, heart attack, or death in adults with type 2 diabetes and known heart disease.

24. The Wegovy<sup>®</sup> medicine is indicated to reduce excess body weight and maintain weight reduction long term in adults and children aged  $\geq 12$  years with obesity, and some adults with overweight and weight-related medical problems, along with a reduced calorie diet and increased physical activity.

25. The Wegovy<sup>®</sup> medicine is also indicated, with a reduced calorie diet and increased physical activity, to reduce the risk of major adverse cardiovascular events such as

“cardiovascular” death, heart attack, or stroke in adults with known heart disease and with either obesity or overweight.

26. The Rybelsus<sup>®</sup> medicine is indicated for adults with type 2 diabetes to improve blood sugar (glucose), along with diet and exercise.

27. The Ozempic<sup>®</sup>, Wegovy<sup>®</sup>, and Rybelsus<sup>®</sup> medicines have been extensively studied in clinical trials and are FDA-approved.

28. Each of the Ozempic<sup>®</sup>, Wegovy<sup>®</sup>, and Rybelsus<sup>®</sup> medicines has a unique safety and efficacy profile which is set forth in its respective product label.

29. The Ozempic<sup>®</sup>, Wegovy<sup>®</sup>, and Rybelsus<sup>®</sup> medicines are prescription-only medicines that should only be prescribed in direct consultation with, and under the supervision of, a licensed healthcare professional.

#### **DEFENDANT’S SALE OF UNAPPROVED COMPOUNDED DRUGS**

30. Novo Nordisk does not sell its FDA-approved semaglutide medicines to Defendant for resale or redistribution.

31. Defendant markets and sells to patients Unapproved Compounded Drugs that purport to contain semaglutide.

32. The FDA has not approved Defendant’s Unapproved Compounded Drugs.

33. On information and belief, the Unapproved Compounded Drugs sold by Defendant are made by compounding pharmacies, which deliver them either directly to patients or to Defendant for administration or dispensing to patients.

34. The FDA defines compounding as a “practice in which a licensed pharmacist, a licensed physician, or, in the case of an outsourcing facility, a person under the supervision of a

licensed pharmacist, combines, mixes, or alters ingredients of a drug to create a medication tailored to the needs of an individual patient.”<sup>2</sup>

35. According to the FDA, “[c]ompounded drugs are not FDA-approved. This means that FDA does not review these drugs to evaluate their safety, effectiveness, or quality before they reach patients.”<sup>3</sup>

36. The FDA has further stated that compounded drugs “do not have the same safety, quality, and effectiveness assurances as approved drugs. Unnecessary use of compounded drugs unnecessarily exposes patients to potentially serious health risks.”<sup>4</sup>

37. As the FDA has explained, “[c]ompounded drugs pose a higher risk to patients than FDA-approved drugs because compounded drugs do not undergo FDA premarket review for safety, quality or effectiveness. Compounded drugs should only be used for patients whose medical needs cannot be met by an available FDA-approved drug.”<sup>5</sup>

38. The process used to produce most “semaglutide” used in compounding is fundamentally different from the process used to produce the semaglutide in Novo Nordisk’s FDA-approved medicines. Novo Nordisk manufactures the semaglutide in its medicines, pursuant to its FDA approval, in yeast cells under a closely controlled multistep process that uses recombinant DNA technology. Most compounded “semaglutide,” however, uses a “semaglutide”

---

<sup>2</sup>Human Drug Compounding, <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/guidance-compliance-regulatory-information/human-drug-compounding> (last updated Oct. 8, 2024).

<sup>3</sup>Compounding Laws and Policies, <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/human-drug-compounding/compounding-laws-and-policies> (last updated Sept. 10, 2020).

<sup>4</sup>Compounding and the FDA: Questions and Answers, <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/human-drug-compounding/compounding-and-fda-questions-and-answers> (last updated Aug. 5, 2024).

<sup>5</sup>FDA Alerts Health Care Providers, Compounders and Patients of Dosing Errors Associated with Compounded Injectable Semaglutide Products, [https://www.fda.gov/drugs/human-drug-compounding/fda-alerts-health-care-providers-compounders-and-patients-dosing-errors-associated-compounded?utm\\_medium=email&utm\\_source=govdelivery](https://www.fda.gov/drugs/human-drug-compounding/fda-alerts-health-care-providers-compounders-and-patients-dosing-errors-associated-compounded?utm_medium=email&utm_source=govdelivery) (last updated July 26, 2024).

manufactured via chemical synthesis. The fundamental differences between these processes have resulted in new impurities, higher levels of known impurities, immunogenicity concerns, and potential stability issues in tested samples of compounded “semaglutide.”<sup>6</sup>

39. The FDA has received reports of adverse events, some requiring hospitalization, related to overdoses from dosing errors associated with compounded “semaglutide” products.<sup>7</sup> Based on data as of September 30, 2024, the FDA’s Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) database includes 619 cases of adverse events associated with compounded “semaglutide”—nearly triple the number of adverse events for *all* compounded drugs in 2022.<sup>8</sup> Of those 619 cases, the FDA classified 446 as “serious” adverse events, 144 as requiring hospitalization, and twelve as involving deaths. In several instances, patients mistakenly administered five to 20 times more than the intended dose of compounded “semaglutide.”

40. The FDA has stated that the containers and packaging (including multidose vials and prefilled syringes) used by compounders, the varying product concentrations, and the instructions accompanying the compounded drug contribute to the potential medical errors.

41. A publication from the Journal of the American Pharmacists Association also highlighted errors where patients accidentally self-administered doses of compounded “semaglutide” up to ten times greater than the intended amount.<sup>9</sup>

---

<sup>6</sup>Morten Hach *et al*, Impact of Manufacturing Process and Compounding on Properties and Quality of Follow-On GLP-1 Polypeptide Drugs, *Pharm. Res.*, (Oct. 8, 2024), *available at* <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/39379664/>.

<sup>7</sup>FDA Alerts Health Care Providers, Compounders and Patients of Dosing Errors Associated with Compounded Injectable Semaglutide Products, <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/human-drug-compounding/fda-alerts-health-care-providers-compounders-and-patients-dosing-errors-associated-compounded> (last updated July 26, 2024).

<sup>8</sup>FDA Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) Public Dashboard, <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/questions-and-answers-fdas-adverse-event-reporting-system-faers/fda-adverse-event-reporting-system-faers-public-dashboard> (last updated December 7, 2023).

<sup>9</sup>Joseph E. Lambson *et al*, *Administration Errors of Compounded Semaglutide Reported to a Poison Control Center—Case Series*, 63 *J. Am. Pharmacists Assc’n* 5 (2023), *available at* [https://www.japha.org/article/S1544-3191\(23\)00231-5/abstract](https://www.japha.org/article/S1544-3191(23)00231-5/abstract) (last visited November 7, 2024).

42. FDA has issued guidance on its “Concerns with Unapproved GLP-1 Drugs Used for Weight Loss,” which provides that: (1) “compounded drugs are not FDA-approved”; (2) use of compounded drugs containing “semaglutide” “can be risky for patients, as unapproved versions do not undergo FDA’s review for safety, effectiveness and quality”; and (3) “FDA has received reports of adverse events related to compounded versions of semaglutide . . . . However, federal law does not require state-licensed pharmacies that are not outsourcing facilities to submit adverse events to FDA so it is likely that adverse events from compounded versions of these drugs are underreported.”<sup>10</sup>

**DEFENDANT’S FALSE ADVERTISING IN CONNECTION WITH ITS  
SALE OF UNAPPROVED COMPOUNDED DRUGS**

43. Despite the foregoing, Defendant has made false and misleading representations to patients regarding the nature of its Unapproved Compounded Drugs.

44. Defendant promotes its Unapproved Compounded Drugs by offering a “Semaglutide and Tirzepatide Weight Loss Therapy,” including on its website and social media pages.

45. Defendant has falsely advertised its Unapproved Compounded Drugs by making statements that describe the Ozempic<sup>®</sup>, Wegovy<sup>®</sup>, and Rybelsus<sup>®</sup> medicines but that are false or misleading when in reference to Defendant’s Unapproved Compounded Drugs.

46. Defendant has claimed or implied that its Unapproved Compounded Drugs have been approved by the FDA or have been reviewed by the FDA for safety, effectiveness, and quality:

---

<sup>10</sup> FDA’s Concerns with Unapproved GLP-1 Drugs Used for Weight Loss, <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/postmarket-drug-safety-information-patients-and-providers/fdas-concerns-unapproved-glp-1-drugs-used-weight-loss> (last updated October 10, 2024).



## Why Choose Semaglutide Or Tirzepatide?


- ✓ Proven Results: Clinical studies have demonstrated significant weight loss for patients using Semaglutide.
- ✓ Safe and Effective: Semaglutide is FDA-approved and has been shown to be well-tolerated by patients.
- ✓ Convenient: With once-weekly injections delivered directly to your doorstep, Semaglutide fits seamlessly into your routine.
- ✓ Comprehensive Support: Our team is dedicated to supporting you every step of the way on your weight loss journey.

47. Contrary to Defendant's representations, the FDA has made no such broad approval. Instead, the FDA has approved three of Novo Nordisk's medicines which contain semaglutide for the specific indications outlined in the preceding paragraphs.

48. As illustrated above, Defendant has falsely claimed or implied that its Unapproved Compounded Drugs have been subjected to clinical studies and trials, or have otherwise achieved certain therapeutic outcomes attributable to Wegovy<sup>®</sup>, Ozempic<sup>®</sup>, and Rybelsus<sup>®</sup> medicines.

49. On information and belief, Defendant has not conducted any placebo-controlled studies on its Unapproved Compounded Drugs and is instead falsely and misleadingly referring to studies of Novo Nordisk's FDA-approved medicines to promote its Unapproved Compounded Drugs.

50. Defendant has claimed or implied that its Unapproved Compounded Drugs contain the same semaglutide that the FDA evaluated in the context of reviewing and approving Novo Nordisk's new drug applications for the Wegovy<sup>®</sup>, Ozempic<sup>®</sup>, and Rybelsus<sup>®</sup> medicines:



51. Defendant's characterization of its product as "Semaglutide (Ozempic)," falsely indicates that its Unapproved Compounded Drug is, is equivalent to, or is somehow associated with Novo Nordisk's FDA-approved medicines.

52. On information and belief, Defendant has engaged in these unlawful practices to attract customers and generate revenues and profits.

53. There is no need for Defendant to use the Ozempic<sup>®</sup> trademark to advertise or promote its Unapproved Compounded Drugs purporting to contain "semaglutide," other than to trade on the reputation of Plaintiffs and to create confusion in the marketplace or mislead the public regarding the origin, identity, or source of Defendant's Unapproved Compounded Drugs.

54. Defendant's false and misleading statements and practices are likely to cause mistake and deception in the marketplace.

55. Defendant's false and misleading marketing is also likely to expose patients to unnecessary risks. Patients who mistakenly believe Defendant to be offering Novo Nordisk's FDA-approved medicines, or equivalent thereto, are unlikely to understand the unique risks associated with, or the lack of clinical trials or testing establishing the safety and effectiveness of, Defendant's Unapproved Compounded Drugs.<sup>11</sup>

56. On information and belief, unless enjoined by this Court, Defendant will continue to falsely advertise its products as being equivalent to or associated with Novo Nordisk and its FDA-approved medicines in violation of Plaintiffs' rights.

---

<sup>11</sup> See, e.g., Dozens Say They Lost Eyesight After Routine Surgery Using Compounded Pharmacy Drugs, WFAA, <https://www.wfaa.com/article/news/do-not-publish-yet/287-5f002ed3-e110-4063-9959-a2e5f54b5097> (last updated Feb. 13, 2019) (reporting mistaken belief of patient taking a compounded drug that "every pill you take, every shot you take is tested."); FDA Alerts Health Care Providers, Compounders and Patients of Dosing Errors Associated with Compounded Injectable Semaglutide Products, [https://www.fda.gov/drugs/human-drug-compounding/fda-alerts-health-care-providers-compounders-and-patients-dosing-errors-associated-compounded?utm\\_medium=email&utm\\_source=govdelivery](https://www.fda.gov/drugs/human-drug-compounding/fda-alerts-health-care-providers-compounders-and-patients-dosing-errors-associated-compounded?utm_medium=email&utm_source=govdelivery) (last updated July 26, 2024) ("Compounded drugs pose a higher risk to patients than FDA-approved drugs because compounded drugs do not undergo FDA premarket review for safety, quality or effectiveness.").

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

**Defendant's False and Misleading Advertising and Promotion in Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1)(B)**

57. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here.

58. Defendant's practices, as described in this Complaint, constitute unfair competition and false advertising in violation of Section 43(a)(1)(B) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1)(B).

59. Defendant has violated the Lanham Act by using false or misleading descriptions of fact and false or misleading representations of fact in its commercial advertising or promotion that misrepresent the nature, characteristics, and qualities of Defendant's business practices and products, as set forth above.

60. Defendant has also engaged in other false or misleading advertising and promotion intended to assure patients that Defendant's practices are lawful. On information and belief, Defendant provides patients who purchase Defendant's Unapproved Compounded Drugs (or whom Defendant is trying to persuade to purchase its drugs) information that makes several false or misleading statements, including those described herein and in the exhibits hereto:

61. The above-described acts of Defendant, if not enjoined by this Court, are likely to deceive members of the general public.

62. The above-described acts of Defendant have irreparably harmed and, if not enjoined, will continue to irreparably harm Plaintiffs.

63. The above-described acts of Defendant have irreparably harmed and, if not enjoined, will continue to irreparably harm the interest of the public in being free from confusion, mistake, and deception.

64. By reason of Defendant's acts as alleged above, Plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to suffer injuries, including injury to Plaintiffs' business reputation.

65. Because Plaintiffs' remedies at law are not adequate to compensate for all the injuries inflicted by Defendant. Accordingly, Plaintiffs are entitled to entry of preliminary and permanent injunctive relief requiring Defendant to cease its false and misleading advertising and promotion and unfair competitive practices.

66. Because the above-described acts of Defendant are willful, Plaintiffs are entitled to disgorgement of defendant's profits (enhanced at the Court's discretion), treble damages, and costs under 15 U.S.C. § 1117.

67. This is an exceptional case, making Plaintiffs eligible for an award of attorneys' fees under 15 U.S.C. § 1117.

**SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**Unfair Competition in Violation of Ohio Common Law**

68. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here.

69. The above-described acts of Defendant constitute common law unfair competition.

70. The above-described acts of Defendant unfairly and wrongfully exploit Plaintiffs' trademark, goodwill, and reputation.

71. The above-described acts of Defendant have irreparably harmed and, if not enjoined, will continue to irreparably harm the interest of the public in being free from confusion, mistake, and deception.

72. By reason of Defendant's acts, Plaintiffs' remedies at law are not adequate to compensate for the injuries inflicted by Defendant. Accordingly, the Court should enter

preliminary and injunctive relief, in addition to awarding disgorgement of Defendant's profits (enhanced at the Court's discretion) and corrective advertising costs to NNAS.

**THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**Deceptive Trade Practices in Violation of Ohio R.C. 4165.01 et seq.**

73. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here.

74. The above-described acts of Defendant constitute deceptive trade practices in violation of the laws of the State of Ohio.

75. Specifically, said acts are likely to cause confusion as to source, sponsorship, approval, or certification of goods or services in violation of R.C. 4165.02(A)(2) and are likely to mislead consumers as to the standard, quality, or grade of Defendant's products in violation of R.C. 4165.02(A)(9).

76. The above-described acts of Defendant have irreparably harmed and, if not enjoined, will continue to irreparably harm the interest of the public in being free from confusion, mistake, and deception.

77. By reason of Defendant's willful acts, Plaintiffs' remedies at law are not adequate to compensate for the injuries inflicted by Defendant. Accordingly, the Court should enter preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, in addition to awarding damages and attorney's fees.

**REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiffs request judgment against Defendant as follows:

1. That the Court enter a judgment against Defendant that Defendant has:
  - a. Engaged in false and misleading advertising and promotion, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a);

- b. Engaged in unfair and deceptive trade practices under the common law of Ohio and Ohio's Deceptive Trade Practices laws.
2. That each of the above acts was willful.
3. That the Court preliminarily and permanently enjoin and restrain Defendant and its agents, servants, employees, successors, and assigns, and all other persons acting in concert with or in conspiracy with or affiliated with Defendant, from:
  - a. using the Ozempic<sup>®</sup>, Rybelsus<sup>®</sup>, and Wegovy<sup>®</sup> marks in any manner, including but not limited to (i) use in any manner that is likely to cause confusion or mistake, to deceive, or otherwise infringe Novo Nordisk's rights in the Ozempic<sup>®</sup>, Rybelsus<sup>®</sup>, and Wegovy<sup>®</sup> marks in any way, or (ii) use in connection with the advertising, marketing, sale, or promotion of any Unapproved Compounded Drugs; and,
  - b. advertising, stating, or suggesting that any Unapproved Compounded Drugs, including but not limited to any Unapproved Compounded Drugs that either are available, directly or indirectly, from or through Defendant or the use of which or access to which is facilitated by, or with the involvement of, Defendant:
    - i. are, or contain, genuine or authentic Novo Nordisk Ozempic<sup>®</sup>, Wegovy<sup>®</sup>, or Rybelsus<sup>®</sup> medicines;
    - ii. are sponsored by or associated with Novo Nordisk;
    - iii. are approved by the FDA; have been reviewed by the FDA for safety, effectiveness, or quality; or have been demonstrated to the FDA to be safe or effective for their intended use;

- iv. achieve or have been shown or proven to achieve certain therapeutic results, effects, or outcomes, including but not limited to by relying on or making reference to clinical trial results for Novo Nordisk's medicines;
  - v. achieve or have been shown or proven to achieve therapeutic results, effects, or outcomes similar or identical to Novo Nordisk's medicines or are interchangeable with or equivalent to genuine Novo Nordisk medicines;
  - vi. are associated or connected in any way with Novo Nordisk or Novo Nordisk's medicines; or
  - vii. contain any ingredient (including but not limited to semaglutide) that is supplied by Novo Nordisk, is approved by the FDA, or is the same as any ingredient in any Novo Nordisk medicine.
- c. engaging in any unfair and deceptive trade practices; and
  - d. engaging in any deceptive acts or practices.

4. That the Court require Defendant to disclose conspicuously and prominently in any public-facing materials for any Unapproved Compounded Drugs, including all advertising, marketing, and promotional materials, that: (a) the Unapproved Compounded Drugs are compounded drugs that have not been approved by the FDA; have not been reviewed by the FDA for safety, effectiveness, or quality; and have not been demonstrated to the FDA to be safe or effective for their intended use; (b) the processes by which the compounded drugs are manufactured have not been reviewed by the FDA; and (c) FDA-approved medicines containing semaglutide are available.

5. That Plaintiffs be awarded monetary relief in the form of disgorgement of Defendant's profits for Defendant's false advertising and unfair competition and that this monetary

relief be trebled due to Defendant's willfulness, in accordance with the provisions of 15 U.S.C. § 1117 and any applicable state laws.

6. That the Court award disgorgement of Defendant's profits resulting from Defendant's unfair and deceptive trade practices to Plaintiffs.

7. That Defendant be ordered to account for and disgorge to Plaintiffs all amounts by which Defendant has been unjustly enriched by reason of Defendant's unlawful actions.

8. That Plaintiffs be awarded punitive damages by reason of Defendant's willful unlawful actions.

9. For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on all damages.

10. That the Court award Plaintiffs their reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117 and any other applicable provision of law.

11. That the Court award Plaintiffs the costs of suit incurred herein.

12. For such other or further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

November 8, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Gregory J. Krabacher

Gregory J. Krabacher (0079259), Trial Attorney

Maurice A. Wells (0096804)

Epstein Becker & Green, P.C.

250 West Street, Suite 300

Columbus, Ohio 43215

T: (614) 872-2447

E: gkrabacher@ebglaw.com

mwells@ebglaw.com

***Attorneys for Plaintiffs***

***Novo Nordisk A/S and Novo***

***Nordisk Inc.***